SHORT COMMUNICATION

BEHAVIOURAL CHANGE IN RATS DUE TO CHRONIC ORAL AND SYSTEMIC FORMALDEHYDE*

H. VENKATAKRISHNA BHATT** AND G. M. PANCHAL

Division of Neurobehavioural Toxicology, National Institute of Occupational Health, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad - 380 016 (Gujarat)

(Received on March 25, 1992)

Abstract: Impact of chronic formaldehyde exposure in respect of route on behaviour was studied. Preconditioned (environmental) male albino rats (340-400 g) in 3 groups (n=5) under 60 days oral and systemic exposure to 10 mg/kg/day HCHO were examined for their behavioural performance (i.e. short term memory) in Cook's apparatus. Twenty percent rats settled in grade III (unconditioned avoidance response) in the i.p. (i.e. systemic group) whereas in oral fed (HCHO route in drinking water) rats, 60% settled in grade II (conditioned avoidance response) at the end of sixty days.

Key words:

behaviour

formaldehyde

INTRODUCTION

Formaldehyde (HCHO) exposure is common in occupational and non-occupational environments as an urban and industrial air pollutant (1) in tobacco smoke (2, 3), in diesel exhaust fumes (4) and incinerator effluents (5). The exposed individuals have many health problems like lacrimation and irritation of eyes, nasal mucous membranes (6) tightness of the chest, headache, dizziness and complaints like disturbance of memory. mood equilibrium and sleep (7, 8). The rat has been found to be an excellent animal for undertaking studies on experiental and environmental toxins on the cognitive development, thus providing information on its behavioural effects (9, 10). The present work was designed to study the behavioural aspects of HCHO influences on the rat during its oral and systemic exposure and also we have shown by simple techniques (10-12) to check whether HCHO has (1) dose exposure impairment of performance and (3) the HCHO influencing range of short term memory and on its discontinuity, restoration to normalcy.

METHODS

Experimental methods : The animals were se-

lected after reinforced screening for conditioning to condi-tioned avoidance response (CAR) and unconditioned avoidance response (UAR) in a Cook's apparatus (13). Only those rats reaching the standard criterion levels were included in these experiments. All the tests were conducted at the room temperature $(27^{\circ}-32^{\circ}C)$.

Design and training of rats: Fifteen mature albino male rats weighing 350-400 g were trained to avoid or escape an electric shock applied to their feet. The experimental chamber is a 30 cm cube and rests on a floor grid to which a stimulator is connected. The safety area is an central wooden pole attached to the top of the chamber at the exit side. A buzzer is mounted inside the enclosure and a light is also provided. The chamber is kept in a sound proof wooden enclosure. The stimulator with a built-in timer provides electrical shocks 400 V (0.2 mA) at a frequecy of 5 seconds. The duration of stimuli can be controlled by a built-in timer which can be switched into the circuit with either the stimulus (buzzer) to which the animals were conditioned or the stimuli to which the animals were not conditioned (shock) for a period of 30 seconds.

Rats were trained to climb the wooden pole (shock free zone) within 5 seconds (environmental condition-

*This paper was presented at the 24th Annual Conference of India Pharmacological Society, held at LM College of Pharmacy, Ahmedabad in December 1991.

**Corresponding Author

Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 1992; 36(4)

Formaldehyde Induced Behavioural Change in Rats 271

ing or secondary conditioned response SCR) or on hearing a buzzer (conditioned avoidance response (CAR) during the 30 seconds and thereafter imparting a mild shock (unconditioned avoidance response UAR) from 31 to 60 seconds (10, 11).

Fifteen rats were divided into three groups (n=5). Controls (group I) received tap water. Test groups (II) received 10 mg/kg, i.p. HCHO and group (III) 10 mg/ ml HCHO in drinking water for a period of 60 days. Behavioural performance, body weight and consumption of water during HCHO exposure were recorded.

RESULTS

Animals showed percentage decrease of behavioural performance from secondary conditioned response (SCR) to conditioned avoidance response (CAR) during HCHO exposure in test group II and III and also did not revert to normal activity during HCHO exposure. Fig. 1 and 2 show behavioural responses from grade 1 (SCR) to grade II (CAR) indicating HCHO









influences memory trace (permanent engrams) since the animal could not revert to normal psychological activity. There was no significant percentage change in body weight in the test group II and III from the control group I. No changes in posture and muscular activity in both group II and III indicating that HCHO has less effect on the body weight. The results of behavioural performance of both the test group from grade I to II (Fig. 3) indicated that animals less effected and only settled in grade II and still showed the response to UAR and thus showing mild deterioration of rats in the experimental groups.

DISCUSSION

Though the interpretation of animal behaviour to human being is difficult, psychologists suggest that the learning pattern of rats are similar to the pattern of learning in preverbal children of less than 18 months (10). There were several reports about long term 272 Bhatt and Panchal



Fig. 3: Percentage decrease in response of behavioural performance in both Group II and III HCHO 10 mg/kg, i.p. and 10 mg/ml in drinking water fo 60 days in rats. Each point represents the mean of 5 experiments and the vertical bars, the SEM.

- Blackwell MH, Kang AT, Infante P. Formaldehyde evidence of carcinogenecity. J Amer Industl Hyg Assn 1981; 42: 434-439.
- 2. Mold JD, Mc RAe M. The determination of some low molecular weight aldehydes and ketones in cigarette smoke with 2,4dinitrophenylhydrazone. *Tobacco Sci* 1957; 1:40-47.
- Newsome JR, Norman V, Keith CH. Vapour-phase analysis of tobacco smoke. *Tobacco Sci* 1965: 9: 102-110.
- 4. Altshuller AP, Cohen IR, Meyer ME, Wartburg AFJr. Analysis of aliphatic aldehydes in source effluents in the atmosphere. *Anal Chem Acta* 1961; 25: 101-117.
- Stenbirg RL, Hangebrauck RP, Von-Lehmden DJV, Rose JH Jr. Effects of high volatile fuel on incinerator effluents. J Air Pollut Control Assn 1961; 10: 114-121.
- WHO/IARC Monograph on the 'Evaluation of the carcinogenic risk of chemicals to humans: Some industrial chemicals and dyestuffs. IARC, LYON (France) 1982; 345-389.
- Kent WA, Johnson BL. Chapter 3: Chemical affecting behaviour from "Neurotoxicity of Industrial and Commercial Chemicals" (eds.) JLO Donoghue; CRC Press Inc. NW; Florida 1985 : 51-148.
- 8. Kilbum KH, Warshaw R, Thronton JC. Formaldehyde impairs memory equilibrium and dexterity in histology technicians: Effects which persist for days after exposure. Arch Environ Hlth 1987; 42: 117-120.
 - Ronald EL, Talbott EE. Effect of low level of lead exposure on cognitive function - A review. Arch Environ Hlth 1978; 33:236-239.

Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 1992; 36(4)

formaldehyde exposure to a range of psychological or behavioural problems or combined neurobehavioural effect (depression, irritability, memory loss, decreased attentional capacity, impact on sleep and equilibrium (7-15) and altered functional state of cortex (16). Electroencephalographic changes such as alpha rhythm enhancement, central nervous effects such as thirst, dizziness, insomnia and apathy, inability to concentrate have been reported (17, 18). There is no direct evidence regarding performance or HCHO influence on the neurotransmitters. This study indicates the neurobehavioural performance in rats which were influenced by HCHO and yet animal's trial to show normal psychological activity inspite of disturbed memory (8) and resultant defects in perception perhaps reflects even in EEG changes such as alpha rhythm enhancement and changes in autonomic nervous system (18).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Director, National Institute of Occupational Health, Ahmedabad for encouragement during this study.

REFERENCES

- Venkatakrisha-Bhatt, H. Influence of oral lead acetate on cognitive functions on learning in rats. Water Air Soil Pollution J 1984; 23: 375-380.
- Bhattacharya IC. Effects of Acorus (Vacha) Oil on the Amphetamine Induced Activation, Hexobarbital Sleeping Time and on Instrumental Avoidance conditions in rats. J Res Indian Med 1968; 2: 2-12.
- Cook L, Weildly E. Behavioural effects of some psychopharmacoogical agents. Ann NY Acad Sci 1957; 66: 740-752.
- Consensus workshop on formaldehyde. Report on the consensus workshop of formaldehyde. Environ Hith Perspect 1984; 58: 323-381.
- WHO Environmental Health Criteria 89, Formaldehyde IPCS. International Programme on Chemical Safety, WHO Geneva 1989; 136-164.
- Alexanderson R. Hedenstiema R, Kilmodin HB. Exposer to formaldehyde; Effects on pulmonary function. Arch Environ Hlth 1982; 37: 279-284.
- Fel'dman YG, Bonashevskya TI. On the effect of low concentrations of formaldehyde Hyg Saint 1971; 36: 174-180.
- Sgibnev AK. The action of low concentration of formaldehyde vapour on the human organism. Gig Tr Prof Zabol 1968; 12: 20-25.
- Ulsmar AG, Beall JR, Kang HK, Frazier JA. Overview of health effects of formaldehyde. In : Hazardous Assessment of Chemicals. Current Development (J Saxena Eds) Academic Press, New York 1984; 3.337-400.